Approved For Release 2000/08/07 C Pur phas godologa (Kesolution (whate on page? Line? of phone book. A Finite set of data Barriere (Elininate Known & unknown energy doubling) Farrada Cage Light tight box terminy of philled to performent the services of the services Both above Thermal Barrier (Vacuum) Mechanical Distance Codina Trp C- see below
C- check medical history
Telephone # from book by page, nowlin in edm
a No one including experimentar should Know information decived 6. extemporarious 2. Con the subject do this with selested score with which he 15 mit familiai 3. Can the subject pract the output Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP 6 00797R000400070012-0

of a randomn nomber generative.

Experiment! 1. Predict & numbers frie vandom generativi 2. Read name and telephone number of 11sting corresponding to page 3. Conduct of without experimenter looking at listing. 4 Conduct Coffer above subject fail above) with experimenter looking at E) Control of periments whete subject it instruments for EEG, EKG, GSR (Polygrapil) Buring experiment. Have polygrapher ask questions about the subjects ability to do what beis daing. - Do you Know mu you de this, Do you have prov knowledge Does you power surprise you that is to do you ever do this withat intent. Can you decern this ability in o their Sperangene else in family how the ability

he associate or A15 \$ as sociate in the number 108/07: CIA-RDP96-00787R000400070012-0

SG1I

Fum

Some general comments

In Suggest you deal with critics and second-guessers before the fact by plans in plans in writing in quite some detail (i.e. prepare a "seript") and distribute it as widely as you plan to distribute your results

2. Modeling is important: (some have said they don't rare "now" - will just exploit the "what") be needed to predict (a) under what circumstances it will work (if it is real phenomona - its inpredictable) (b) what other phenomona might be developed.

3. A physical view is important. If
new physical laws are involved they
Should be woodeled. Ultimately it
They be possible to eliminate the human
letenent by developing nordware. If
Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00787R000400070012-0
new laws are not involved, conventional

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000400070012-0

physics will help explain how much to expect from "sensitive" humans.

4. Repeatability is important. Why are there so many annecdotes at one-time-only events (n.b. Mrs Rhine's book)

5. Fraud and investigator bias must be eliminated - hence experiments must be 100% controlled (follow the script exactly) and double blind. Since truly unprejudiced investigators probably don't exist, the investigating team might routain both advocates and adversaries.

Questionnar Response

- 1. Should answers
 - a) is the phenomona "real" or result
 - b) are some subjects ultra sensitive within the concepts of conventional physics?
 - Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00787R000400070012-0

Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CIA-RDP96-00787R346400070012-0

2.3 - Tests - hidden object tests

(either identifying or locating objects)

appear to be simple, practile, represented

exercises in which peraneters can be

controlled and varied (and in which

both subjects have had some reported

success). Parameters might be selected

to determine the effects of range,

of materials blocking and surrounding the

larget, the composition of the larget, etc.

4. Institute double - blind proceedures. The "advocacy" positions of Puthoff and Tary should be countered with the colaboration of an "adversary" investigator

5, Honest ones - again the advocate-adversing approach rould help - double locks could be used

6. See gereral rouments above